

How Effective are Vaccines in Preventing Long Covid After Infection?

Living Evidence Synthesis (January 15, 2025)

What questions did we want to answer?

- How effective are COVID-19 vaccines in preventing [Long Covid](#) outcomes caused by variants of concern? How does this vary based on (1) the number of doses received; and (2) type of [Variant of Concern](#) (VOC)?

Why is it important to summarize all the evidence on this?

- According to the World Health Organization, around 10 to 20 percent of individuals who contract COVID-19 may experience long-term symptoms.
- We need to gather this evidence so that people can make informed decisions about vaccination.

How have we done this living evidence synthesis?

- A living evidence synthesis is a summary of research on a topic that is regularly updated as new studies come out.
- We identified published literature by searching various electronic databases (e.g., MEDLINE, Cochrane) and preprints through COVID-19 Evidence Alerts from McMaster PLUSTM.
- We compared the likelihood of developing Long Covid among vaccinated people who have been infected vs. unvaccinated people who have been infected.
- We evaluated the studies carefully and assessed the level of certainty of the evidence as low, moderate, or high certainty evidence.
- In this report, we included multiple analyses from 10 studies that examined Long Covid outcomes, of which nine were published and one was a preprint.
- Combined estimates across brands are shown in Table 1. Brand-specific estimates are presented in Table 2 when available.
- Underlined terms are defined on page 3



CoVaRR+Net



Table 1. Vaccine Effectiveness in Preventing Long Covid Outcomes		
Developing Long Covid Outcome such as:	Comparators	Vaccine effectiveness (%) (Results here represent estimates measured 3 to 24 months since infection)
Overall Long Covid	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Combined estimate from eighteen analyses showed that the primary series was 17% less likely than unvaccinated (this ranged from the primary series being 53% less likely to the unvaccinated being 17% less likely).
	Booster dose(s) vs Unvaccinated	Combined estimate from six analyses showed that booster dose(s) were 57% less likely than unvaccinated (this ranged from the primary series being 95% less likely to the unvaccinated being 84% less likely).
Cardiovascular conditions	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Primary series 95% less likely than unvaccinated. Unvaccinated 5% less likely than primary series.
Respiratory functioning, symptoms, and conditions	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Primary series 66% less likely than unvaccinated. Unvaccinated 211% less likely than primary series.

Table 1 continues to the next page.

What are the main results?

- When we combine all the estimates in the studies, they indicate that compared to unvaccinated individuals, those who received the primary dose series were up to 17% less likely, and those who received booster dose(s) were up to 57% less likely, to develop Long Covid outcomes. These outcomes include cardiovascular issues, respiratory problems, fatigue, pain, nervous system dysfunction, cognitive impairment (for example, memory loss, trouble concentrating or completing tasks), and mental health issues.

How confident are we in the results?

- Low Certainty of Evidence: This means our confidence in the study results is low. There are aspects of the studies that lead us to believe the results may not be the same in future studies.
- The results varied widely due to differences across studies in the definition and diagnosis of Long Covid, the symptoms assessed, as well as variations in how the studies were designed and the characteristics of the research participants.

What are the gaps in what we know?

- At this time, there is limited evidence about the effectiveness of the vaccine in preventing Long Covid outcomes from VOC infection.
- To increase confidence in our results, we need higher-certainty evidence.

Table 1, continued.

Fatigue or exhaustion conditions	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Primary series 28% less likely than unvaccinated. Unvaccinated 370% less likely than primary series.
Pain symptoms and conditions	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Primary series 35% less likely than unvaccinated. Unvaccinated 1% less likely than primary series.
Nervous system functioning, symptoms, and conditions	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Primary series 56% less likely than unvaccinated. Unvaccinated 155% less likely than primary series.
Cognitive functioning, symptoms, and conditions	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Primary series 8% to 45% less likely than unvaccinated.
Mental functioning, symptoms, and conditions	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Primary series 56% less likely than unvaccinated. Unvaccinated 3% less likely than primary series.

See glossary on page 3 for definition of underlined terms.

Combined estimates are bolded.

For a visual summary of these results, visit:

<https://www.ksau.ca/ve-voc-long-covid-infographic>

Table 2. Vaccine Effectiveness in Preventing Long Covid by VOC Type		
Developing Long Covid from	Comparators	Vaccine effectiveness (%) (Results here represent estimates measured 3 to 12 months since infection)
Delta	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Combined estimate from three analyses showed that the primary series was 18% less likely than unvaccinated (this ranged from the primary series being 35% less likely to the unvaccinated being 3% less likely).
	Booster dose(s) vs Unvaccinated	Booster dose(s) 40% less likely than unvaccinated. Unvaccinated 84% less likely than primary series.
Omicron	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Primary series 18% to 40% less likely than unvaccinated.
	Booster dose(s) vs Unvaccinated	Combined estimate from three analyses showed that booster dose(s) were 51% less likely than unvaccinated (this ranged from the primary series being 28% to 70% less likely than unvaccinated).
Vaccine Effectiveness in Preventing Long Covid by Vaccine Brand		
Vaccine Type/Brand	Comparators	Vaccine effectiveness (%) (Results here represent estimates measured 3 to 12 months since infection)
mRNA vaccines (Pfizer, Moderna)	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Combined estimate from seven analyses showed that the primary series was 14% less likely than unvaccinated (this ranged from the primary series being 53% less likely to the unvaccinated being 3% less likely).
	Booster dose(s) vs Unvaccinated	Combined estimate from three analyses showed that booster dose(s) were 64% less likely than unvaccinated (this ranged from the primary series being 70% less likely to the unvaccinated being 84% less likely).
Viral vector vaccines (Janssen, AstraZeneca)	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Combined estimate from four analyses showed that the primary series was 19% less likely than unvaccinated (this ranged from the primary series being 31% less likely to the unvaccinated being 3% less likely).

Table 2 continues to the next page.

Definitions of terms

Analysis: In research, an analysis refers to the process of examining data to draw meaningful conclusions. Some studies conduct multiple analyses, whereby researchers look at the same data in different ways to explore various patterns.

Booster doses: Additional doses of a vaccine that are given after the initial primary series to help maintain and extend the protection provided by the vaccine.

Comparators: The different groups or options being compared in a study to see how they affect the outcomes. In this report, we're comparing different numbers of vaccine doses.

Long Covid: New or persistent symptoms more than 12 weeks after COVID-19 infection. Long Covid is also referred to as Post-COVID Condition (PCC) or Post-Acute Sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 Infection (PASC).

Primary series: Refers to the initial sequence of vaccine doses that are required to provide full protection. Depending on the vaccine, the primary series may consist of one or two doses.

Vaccine Effectiveness: In this report, vaccine effectiveness is a measure of how well vaccines protect against Long COVID outcomes between fully vaccinated versus unvaccinated and booster versus primary series.

Variant of Concern (VOC): A virus variant that increases disease spread or severity, or decreases the effectiveness of diagnostic tests, vaccines, and therapeutics, compared to previous variants.

Table 2, continued.

Vaccine Effectiveness in Preventing Long Covid in Vaccinated Pre-or Post-Infection		
Individuals Vaccinated Pre-Infection	Vaccinated (Primary series & Booster dose(s) vs Unvaccinated)	Combined estimate from seventeen analyses showed that vaccinated were 23% less likely than unvaccinated (this ranged from vaccinated being 95% less likely to the unvaccinated being 84% less likely).
Individuals Vaccinated Post-Infection	Primary series vs Unvaccinated	Primary series 2% to 7% less likely than unvaccinated.

See glossary on page 3 for definition of underlined terms. Combined estimates are bolded.

Citation

Dahroug, L., Shaver, N., Asamoah, G.D., Katz, M., Linkins, L.A., Barbara, A., McGuire, M., Colijn, C., Heffernan, J., Earn, D., El Emam, K., O'Brien, S.F., Wilson, K., Hughes, S. E., Collins, E., Navarro, T., Coyle, D., Piggott, T., Kagina, B., Welch, V., Beyene, J., Boutron, I., Bowdish, D., Cooper, C., Costa, A., Curran, J., Griffith, L., Hsu, A., Grimshaw, J., Langlois, M.A., Li, X., Pham-Huy, A., Raina, P., Rubini, M., Thabane, L., Wang, H., Xu, L., Smith, M., Begin, M., Brouwers, M., Horsley, T., Lavis, J., Iorio, A., Little, J. Living evidence synthesis on variants of concern and COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness.

What are the main takeaways from citizen partners?

- Although evidence about the effectiveness of primary and booster dose(s) is constantly being updated with new findings, to date, there is some evidence that they can be effective in preventing Long Covid.
- People who are vaccinated and develop Long Covid might have fewer or less severe symptoms compared to those who are unvaccinated. The vaccine can be more effective at protecting people from certain symptoms than others.

If you're interested in learning more about vaccine effectiveness against specific Long Covid symptoms, read the full report here: <https://osf.io/yuh3d>

This review is supported by a **CIHR Operating grant (Funding reference number EG9 179477) and additional funds from CoVaRR-Net (Pillar 9)**. This funding source had no role in the design of this study and will not have any role during its execution, analyses, interpretation of the data, or decision to submit results.

Acknowledgements:

We would like to thank our citizen partners for their valuable feedback in shaping this infographic.